

#### NSR transit shipping - A risk based approach

#### Northern Sea Route: New Opportunities

Jan Kvålsvold, Det Norske Veritas, Director Moscow, June 2012



# The drivers



30 year horizon: + 2 billion people

#### Demand for energy (2008 - 2035) – New Policy Scenario (IEA)



Source: IEA, World Energy Outlook - November 2010



Distribution of oil resources

Distribution of gas resources

## The need for energy in Japan is critical

- Nuclear power used to represent 30% of Japan's power consumption
- 27% of world LNG imported to Japan (pre-Fukushima)
- Year-on-Year (January 2011-2012) import hike of 39%
- Last, of 54, nuclear power plant closed in May 2012



Fukushima March 2011





### Japan shift in energy mix is dramatic



NSR transit shipping - A risk based approach Moscow, June 2012 © Det Norske Veritas AS. All rights reserved. Source: Federation of Electric Power Companies in Japan. The Washington Post. Published on April 7, 2012

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### LNG – a regionally disintegrated market

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### LNG landed price

NSR transit shipping - A risk based approach Moscow, June 2012 © Det Norske Veritas AS. All rights reserved.

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Minerals in the north

- Commodity prices have increased
- Old sites become profitable
- Growth plans
- What about sea bottom minerals?

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

Store Norske

- VERDENS NORDLIGSTE GRUVESELSKAP

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

Source: http://www.nautilusminerals.com/s/Home.asp

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_12.jpeg)

NSR transit shipping - A risk based approach Moscow, June 2012 © Det Norske Veritas AS. All rights reserved.

# The incentives

#### Why? -because it's shorter

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Yokohama – Rotterdam is 40% shorter than Suez

NSR transit shipping - A risk based approach Moscow, June 2012 © Det Norske Veritas AS. All rights reserved.

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Ice cap is melting – September ice extent

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

NSR transit shipping - A risk based approach Moscow, June 2012 © Det Norske Veritas AS. All rights reserved. September Ice Extent

EEZ Boundary

#### Source: http://www.oceansnorth.org/new-maps-melting-ice#

September Ice Exten

D International Waters

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Current regulations in the Polar Region

## Current regulations in Polar Water

Mandatory international conventions apply world wide:

- SOLAS Safety of Life at Sea
- MARPOL Prevention of Pollution From Ships
- AFS Anti-Fouling system
- BWM Ballast Water Management (Not yet in force)
- **Convention on Load Lines**
- STCW Standards of Training, Certification and Watch keeping
- COLREG Preventing Collisions at Sea

#### Voluntary guidelines especially for polar waters:

Guidelines for ships operating in Polar waters – adopted 2009, recommended to be used from 1. January 2011 IMO doc. A 26/Res.1024

![](_page_13_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_12.jpeg)

## National and Regional Regulations and Agreements

- Flag states (Administration)
- Coastal state requirements (UNCLOS 6mnds of ice)
- Classification societies
- The Antarctic Treaty Consultative meeting (ATCM)
- Arctic Council
- International and national standards and guidance
  IMO doc. DE 56/INF.2 Listing such standards

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **IMO** Polar Waters Actions

- Developed new regulations prohibiting use of HFO in Antarctic waters entered into force in 1<sup>st</sup> August 2011.
- Working on measures to **reduce air emission**
- Extended the application of the 'Guidelines for operation in Polar water' to cover Antarctic as well
- Developed training guidance for officers on ships operating in the polar areas
- Started the development of a mandatory safety and environment protection code for ships operating in the polar areas – The Polar Code
  - The goal is to have the same level of safety for persons, environment and ships as in other waters

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

INTERNATIONAL MARITIME ORGANIZATION

## NSR requirements

#### Examples of requirements

- Ice strengthening ACR4 approximately ICE-1A
- Tank and DB arrangement
- No bulbous bow
- Towing arrangement
- Engine and propeller requirements
- Waste handling
- Ballast tank heating
- Stability
- Icing and winterization
- Navigation

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_14.jpeg)

# The role of Classification

## International and Regional Regulations

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Ownership is with the Administration and Local authority – NOT the class

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### DNV's core competence

# identify assess manage

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

## DNV position for cold climate

Total number of ships in class: 6200

Ice class ships in class: 1650 (28%)

ICE (ARC6) and POLAR (ARC8): 20

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

Winterization: 70

What is RISK?

#### Are we prepared for the new risk reality ?

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Understanding of risk may not be straight forward

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

Says Veritas in a report:

# *«In total, the environmental risk originating from an oil spill will be reduced in the area Lofoten-Barentshavet as a consequence of oil exploration activities there.»*

#### Does this make sense?

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Generally:** RISK is a function of probability and consequence of a possible unwanted outcome

R = f(P, C)

#### **Simplified:** $R \approx P \cdot C$ (Important aspects can disappear)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

What does the combination of probability and consequence mean?

## RISK = PROBABILITY X CONSEQUENCE

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### CONSEQUENCE

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### How to reduce the RISK? - The "bow-tie" model

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

What are the additional Arctic risk elements?

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

P R O B A

BILITY

#### CONSEQUENCE

#### Risk = Probability x Consequence

#### Due to the Arctic Challenges:

- Low Temperatures
- Ice
- Darkness
- Operation
- Remoteness
- Environment

Are influencing the Risk picture (probability or consequence)

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Example: Ice class ICE-1A (or ARC4)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### ICE-1A is used as a preventive barrier to reduce probability for damage

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Illustration of seasonal ice condition

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

NSR transit shipping - A risk based approach Moscow, June 2012 © Det Norske Veritas AS. All rights reserved.

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

## ICE LOAD MONITORING (ILM)

A Norwegian Research Council funded project during the period 2006-2008

Goal: To provide the navigator with information about the actual ice load acting on the hull to avoid permanent damage.

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

Partners: •C-map Marine Forcast •Light Structures •Meteorological Institute in Tromsø •Norwegian Coastguard •Statoil •Teekay

# What about Enterprise Risk?

#### And what about the Enterprise Risk?

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

- One company's accident can influence a whole industry
- Carnival stocks dived 18% first trading day, RCCL stocks dived 8%

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Key take away

- Ship traffic in the Arctic is expected to increase
- Rules and regulations governing the arctic should be based on a risk management approach
- A joint industry project can assess the complete risk picture for arctic transit shipping

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Safeguarding life, property and the environment

www.dnv.com

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)